

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .



Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

"The Truth, the whole Truth and nothing but the Truth!"

Without fear or favor.

Charles M. Colby
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

1962

Subscription Rates:
Plain envelope, unsealed \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

Issue No. 393 (17th Year) Oct. 15, 1962

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

Will Yarmolinsky Take Over FBI? THERE HAVE BEEN STRONG RUMORS

One purpose of this issue is to prevent it. Yarmolinsky has a red record starting back in Harvard. Pictured on this page is the editorial staff of the "YARDLING," the Harvard Marxist Publication. Harvard graduates have told us this was regarded as a communist publication. Note ten of the fourteen are Jews.

HEADED FUND FOR THE REPUBLIC

After Harvard, Yarmolinsky was pushed up the ladder by the left wing. For ten years he was head of the Fund for the Republic in Washington and later became National Secretary.

When Kennedy was elected, he picked most of the appointments. Then Yarmolinsky was placed in the Defense Department where he muzzled the officers' speeches.

It is now known he wrote the article introduced by Senator Fulbright to disarm the United States and render us helpless.

FAMILY BACKGROUND NOT GOOD

His father, a Russian Jew, has written left wing books and is now in the New York Public Library. His mother, Babette Deutsch, is an aggressive demonstrator on the streets of New York. Her name is second on the list of International Sponsors for the General Strike for Peace. Both his parents are extremely active in the many communist fronts to which they belong.

REDS AT WORK


The wrecking crew is active again. The Federal Bureau of Investigation consisting of some 6000 agents is to be destroyed. For years the left marxist liberal forces have planned on destroying the House Committee on Un-American Activities and the FBI. They set up a special organization for destroying the HCUA and have used every method without success, but these wreckers are still at it. On January 2, four organizations plan on meeting in Washington to demonstrate and demand that Congress not vote to support the HCUA for 1963.

Among the organizations involved in this wrecking job on the House Committee on Un-American Activities are: For A More Effective Congress, The Emergency Civil Liberties Committee, The American Jewish Congress, The Anti-Defamation League and the Americans for Democratic Action. A few weeks ago W. H. Ferry vice president for the Fund for the Republic attacked the FBI. Remember that Adam Yarmolinsky was head of the Fund for the Republic in Washington for ten years.

A SPY IN THE FBI

For years the FBI has had their agents inside the Communist Party and now the enemy has placed at least one spy in the FBI. Jack Levine, a New York lawyer, joined the FBI, and after a year, resigned on August 4, 1961.

Just which organizations are guilty of placing him there is not known yet, but, apparently, it is the Communist Party or the Americans for Democratic Action or a combination of those mentioned above. While Levine was in the FBI, he made day-to-day records of everything he could see and hear



Adam Yarmolinsky

Pictured in 1943 when he was editor of the Harvard Marxist publication "YARDLING."

and was busy continually asking questions. Levine now comes out with a smear which covers every bureau and activity, always being careful to give the most distorted picture of every move he could observe using figures, dates and names.

HOOVER SMEARED

J. Edgar Hoover, himself, could never give a detailed report such as Levine gave on New York Radio Station WBAI 99.5 FM on October 18 at 8 p.m. in a two and one-half hour attack. This smear had been submitted to news agencies and leading newspapers who refused it. However, it appears this attack will be carried on by

leftist organizations. Indications are that this is a methodical plot to destroy the FBI or to drive J. Edgar Hoover out and replace him with Adam Yarmolinsky. (There have been strong rumors that Yarmolinsky, now the behind-the-scene director of the Defense Department, is slated for Hoover's position as head of the FBI.) God forbid!


MORE SPIES?

It is a mystery how Levine had the time for any FBI work in view of the minute investigation he was making. It looks impossible unless there are more communist spies inside the FBI who were collecting data and assembling this.

Some of the main points Levine makes are that the FBI has fifteen hundred spies in the Communist Party, and he is disturbed because this is such a burden on the government that he tried to contact Kennedy on this subject apparently to have these spies removed as they have the communists jittery.

Levine says the FBI takes the position the NAACP is a communist front and integration a part of the communist conspiracy. He blasts the FBI as anti-semitic. He also stated the FBI was opposed to the liberals and the American Civil Liberties Union. He reported that a bureau official in speaking to them referred to a "greasy sheeney" and also that one FBI agent stated in his presence he was not going to shake hands with any nigger. He described the eight-hour preparations the new FBI men must go through before meeting J. Edgar Hoover, how they must be dressed, their hair groomed, that they should always have an extra handkerchief to dry the palms of their hands before shaking hands with Hoover whom he described as an egotistical little god.

(Please turn to page 4).



Pictured above is the editorial board of the YARDLING, the Marxist publication for circulation among thousands of students at HARVARD. Adam Yarmolinsky, editor. Picture taken in 1943. Seated left to right: Hunt, Pekowsky, Schleifer, Yarmolinsky, Mass, Susskin, Davis. Standing: Gittler, Benson, Freedman, Sadove, Solomon, Levenson. Yarmolinsky is now Personnel Chief in Defense Department.

Investigate The State Dept.

JUST HOW RESPONSIBLE IS THE STATE DEPARTMENT?

Senator Thomas H. Kuchel
Senate Office Building
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Senator:

There is a strong proclivity among communists, socialists, collectivists and New Frontiersmen, who are systematically destroying the traditional American way of life and planning to replace it with a one-world socialist government, to classify as "extreme" and "irresponsible" those Americans who intend to prevent this incredible treachery and protect American sovereignty, independence and freedom.

The enclosed copy of COMMON SENSE for August 1962, contains a brief but terribly revealing summary of the pattern in which our State Department, under all recent administrations and under the control of the Establishment and Council on Foreign Relations, has aided our Communist enemies, harassed, insulted and repudiated our friends and allies, protected and promoted communism and socialism all over the world, interfered criminally in the affairs of other nations, and has downgraded and all but destroyed our national sovereignty and our prestige. The list is not complete.

Ironically, the same issue of COMMON SENSE carries a letter by Assistant Secretary of State, Frederick Dutton to Senator Hartke which hurls the familiar charge of "irresponsibility" at the paper. As a subscriber to the periodical, I have never found it irresponsible or, in fact, anything but factual, revealing and informative about critical events which do not reach me through the insidiously controlled American press. I have nothing but commendation and respect for its patriotic publisher who has the courage, the initiative and the ability to expose the internal enemies of this country. I support his statement that millions of people believe the State Department is irresponsible. I am one of them and have no associates who think otherwise.

Considering the charge of irresponsibility and its source, just how responsible is the State Department which has the insolence to impugn the reliability of real Americans because they dare to speak the truth?

EUROPE LAUNCHES AN S.O.S. TO AMERICA

Republished from—

Economic Council Letter No. 533
Merwin K. Hart, President
National Economic Council, Inc.
156 Fifth Avenue, New York 10, N. Y.

From Our European Correspondent
Paris, July 25, 1962

The stage has been set for a socialist take-over in Western Europe, a take-over that could run like clockwork and within a matter of months confront us with the nucleus of a one-world, socialist government. British Labor's obstinacy has given us a breathing spell. American Labor's determination and the American public's apathy may quite likely be the West's undoing in the end. The conditioning has been going on for months. We are hearing less and less about stopping communism, nothing about rolling it back. The new jingo is "peaceful social revolution." Kennedy recently echoed it in Mexico, Harland Cleveland in Europe, and Mennen Williams in Africa.

It is that vague term, "peaceful social revolution," that America should bear in mind; for Europe's Common Market, which started out as an excellent thing, is grad-

HEADS STATE DEPT



Secretary of State, Dean Rusk

1. How responsible is an agency of the government which proposes to surrender our arms and military strength—our entire means of national defense—to a Communist inspired, Communist originated, and Communist dominated international agency in which the United States will continue to be outvoted, outmaneuvered and outwitted by its sworn enemies and their allies and dupes? Is this irresponsibility in the most fantastic sense of the word? Or is it also treason, treachery and utter madness?
2. How irresponsible is an agency which, while asking Congress for a 100 million dollar "loan" to the United Nations to pay for its crimes against Katanga, secretly gives 212 million of the taxpayers' dollars to that Communist-dominated instrument where it is quietly distributed to our enemies and the "neutrals" to reduce their delinquent assessments so they may continue in good standing to vote against our policies? Is that merely irresponsible, or is it also treasonous dishonest and deceitful?
3. How responsible is a government which promotes, supports and finances the infamous UN atrocities against the Katangans under the name of "peace-keeping"? Was that just irresponsibility, or was it the most despicable and savage violation of Christian principles and civilized human precepts ever perpetrated by American "leaders"?

4. How responsible is a government which initiates an invasion against Communist Cuba and then scuttles all chances of success by withdrawing vital support at the critical time, so that the courageous nationals who were depending upon the integrity of the United States could be slaughtered or captured like sitting ducks? What brand of responsibility was that fiasco? And what is the word for the current gutless indecision about Cuba?

Mr. Senator, the list of conspiratorial actions emanating from Washington is a long and growing one. The foregoing are simply recent and shameful examples. The obvious indictments against the State Department and those who control it are incredibly grave, and the ultimate consequence of your allowing that situation to continue will be fatal to our country and to every concept of decency, freedom and sovereignty for which it has stood since it was founded by wiser and braver men of the past.

I urge that you initiate and support to the fullest extent of your influence a thorough investigation of the State Department and those alien forces which appear to control its policies. I urge that a searching examination be made of the relationship between the State Department and the Council on Foreign Relations to fix the responsibility for our disastrous foreign policy.

Mr. Senator, it is approaching High Noon in the crescendo of conspiracy against this country and the history of its freedom. I believe that you, as a representative of the people, owe it to your God, your country, your family and yourself to take immediate and forceful action to expose and demolish the insidious treachery which is leading rapidly, now, to the destruction of this country and the civilized world. Congress is our last hope. If you fail, the world fails with you. I hope that you will accept the challenge.

Very truly yours,
Murray C. Beebe, Jr.

cc All Senators, Representatives, members of the State Department, the President, Mr. Conde McGinley, Mr. Frederick Dutton.

ually, behind our backs and as we are being pushed towards it, being given a new complexion. Behind the fine-sounding label of "an economic United Nations," the Common Market, with Britain and us in it, is slated to become international free enterprise's slaughtering pen. Recent developments will furnish an idea how far the program has gone.

George Meany corralled European labor leaders in Paris the last week in June to put the finishing touches to another of those innumerable "holding companies" for labor operation in the international political field that come in for no public scrutiny. This time it was the JOINT TRADE UNION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (JTUAC), formed to "advise"—lay down the law to, in laymen's English—the ORGANIZATION FOR ECONOMIC COOPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT (OECD). Mr. Meany told the boys that 25% of AFL-CIO's income goes for "overseas work," a euphemism for labor-socialism's political fight, in European countries. What right Mr. Meany or American labor has to reach out of the domain of American labor and meddle in world affairs is something a rudely awakened public is someday going to have to ask.

Meany's immediate objective abroad has never been concealed. He and Reuther want to establish wage scales identical with America's, in all industries, in all countries. To do so on United Nations level is out of

the question for the moment. The field is too big, the voracious little nations too poor. A European Common Market, dominated by and including Britain and America, offers the better solution. It is quicker, easier, and enough key Western nations would be in it to carry the rest by their own weight.

There are nuances to the reasons for desiring an international labor gang-up that would impose American wage levels all over Western Europe. For Meany and Reuther, it would force American industries that have fled abroad back into their clutches. They could never pay American wages in small countries with limited markets and exist.

For European labor leaders the game is purely political. And the plan is infallible. Upping wage scales to American standards would set prices soaring and industries crumbling. It would ruin their countries economically, but the accompanying upheaval would carry the labor leaders into power. And since they would count on remaining in power forever, any price it costs the nation is not too high.

Britain stalled the plot, by a hair's breadth, at the big international labor congress in West Berlin, the first week of July. Here is what happened.

George Woodcock, of Britain's TRADE UNION CONGRESS (TUC), faced a solid phalanx of European unions, regimented and paid by AFL-CIO, but nominally commanded by Belgium's Walter Schevenels in the

field. Schevenels is the Belgian secretary-general of the INTERNATIONAL CONFEDERATION OF FREE TRADE UNIONS, which is manipulated largely by American labor's Irving Brown. Brown was busy lobbying for the Common Market's 5-point labor plan, Germany's Willi Brandt, and AFL-CIO's proteges in Algeria. (Brown took the latter a \$150,000 war-fund check as violence against Europeans got underway).

The demand at which Britain balked was Schevenels' insistence on the right of a central, supra-national labor organization to move laborers from one country to another and put them to work anywhere they wished within the Common Market and linguistic barriers would cease to exist.

It goes without saying that the workmen sprinkled through member countries would be exponents (agitators, would be a better word) of the political-social policies of the men doing the scattering. And since this moving of workmen from one country to another would be constant, it goes without saying that a common wage level, (American scale!) would be a prerequisite. German workers moved into some factory in Italy or France would have no intention of taking a loss.

The Britishers balked. Whatever might be said of British labor leaders, they are first and foremost Britishers. Woodcock and his delegation were all for uniting with the continental unions to create jobs for unemployed workmen in their own countries. Bringing them into Britain, with all the trouble-making possibilities the tactic entails, was another matter. The world is told that the only obstacle barring Britain's adhesion to the Common Market is the thorny problem of agricultural exports from the Commonwealth. It is not exactly true. The reprieve the Trade Union delegates gave us in West Berlin may be only temporary. The pressure being applied is terrific, with all the weight of American leadership and AFL-CIO's war-chest behind it.

The most illuminating part of the whole plot, for the ordinary American, should be its cynicism—the fact that Reuther, Meany, and the foreign labor leaders lining up with them cannot help but be aware of the misery that would accompany the upheavals their plan would make inevitable. Yet they are determined to go through with it, under the label, "Peaceful social revolution," to deliver the escaped "refugees" back into the hands of Meany and Reuther and make Western Europe labor-socialist.

This is not one of those chimeras of the lunatic fringe; it is happening, as George Woodcock found out last month. And only by doing something about it is the American at home going to escape being dragged along with the landslide he himself is financing and by his silence approving. In making the above statement, your correspondent is not editorializing; he is passing on a plea that comes from the hearts of countless thinking western Europeans.

REST IN PEACE

Dear God:

"Keep me always away from the honest, practical truths, that I may not be involved in Earthly common struggles with the Devil himself"
"Let the other good people do it for me"
"Let ME rest in peace"
"Amen"

WHOSE STATUE DID YOU SAY?

By a Patriot

I see by the papers that the Government has voted to honor Gen. George C. Marshall with a statue somewhere in Washington. This is the man whose counsel so often lay athwart the best interests of the United States while he was in positions of power.

Robert Sherwood notes that at Teheran both Stalin and Voroshilov recognized the general as their friend—as, indeed, they should have, for it was Marshall who at the first Quebec Conference had plugged Stalin's plan for a diversion of Allied troops into Southern France rather than aiming them at the Balkans, that "soft underbelly of Europe," to use Churchill's rather bucolic figure. This left Stalin a free hand in Southeastern and Central Europe.



General George C. Marshall

Wedemeyer says of Marshall that he was a man corrupted by power and homage and "thus he became an easy prey to crypto-Communists, or Communist-sympathizing sycophants, who played on his vanity to accomplish their own ends."

Gen. Wedemeyer was concerned chiefly with the Far East. In 1945, Chiang Kai-shek's army, although even then beset by Red revolutionists, still occupied most of China. But by December 1949, Chiang had been driven out and China was in the hands of the Communists. "How come?"

According to John T. Flynn in The Lattimore Story, "The one central cause of this defeat was General George Marshall's demand that Chiang take the Reds into his government. When Chiang refused, General Marshall cut off all arms and supplies for Chiang."

"What shaped Marshall's fatal intrusion? That is a dark chapter which must yet be told," says Flynn. "In statecraft he was as pathetically helpless as a child. He was used. But the full story is yet to be unfolded. Yet Marshall himself declared that when Chiang refused to yield to the demands of the Communists he—Marshall—disarmed Chiang's government with a stroke of the pen."

Flynn is too easy on Marshall. "Pathetically helpless" indeed!—helpless in the face of Red advisers, perhaps, but firm and crusty with the advocates of a free world. Marshall could stand up to Sir Winston himself, "the old bulldog," defending Stalin's choice of location for a second front. And Marshall had his way.

This "pathetically helpless" man fought with Chiang Kai-shek and "told off" Gen. Albert Wedemeyer when that brash young man presumed to point out that China would surely go Red if Chiang did as Marshall told him.

When it looked as though MacArthur might actually win the Korean War, Dean Acheson stepped down for a season and the "pathetically helpless" George C. Marshall

was made Secretary of State as very likely, the only one in the country considered big enough to recommend MacArthur's dismissal.

Before they have filled every niche and street-corner in Washington with marble horses and their sometimes marble headed riders, let me recommend a really inspiring American for national recognition: the red-blooded, two-fisted Gen. George Patton, who expressed himself so clearly and forcefully on the Communist menace and who, like many another with strong feelings on that subject, was not allowed many more heartbeats with which to publish them. His jeep cracked up shortly after V-E Day. But even the Germans whom he conquered revere the memory of his fairness. They worshipped his gallantry and one of the garrison settlements outside Frankfurt is now known as Pattonville.

Gen. Patton's nephew, Frederick Ayer, Jr., quotes his uncle as follows in Yankee G-Man:

"For weeks Poles, Hungarians, Czechs, Austrians and others have been coming in droves to my headquarters. They begged me to come into their countries and occupy them before the Russians got there. They told me that otherwise there would be no chance in the world for them to set up free representative governments. They said, practically on their knees, with tears in their eyes, 'Come into our country now or we are finished.'

"We should have done what these people asked. We could, quite morally, have torn up a few damned fool agreements and gone into all those places. We could have said that we were doing it to save the lives of a lot of our allies still fighting on the Eastern front. We could have done it then, and we should do it right now. Of course it might mean a war, but I have seen what is left of their armies. They are largely horsedrawn, their air force is almost non-existent, and their munitions stocks are low. Sure it might mean a war, but the Third Army and First alone could smash them in six weeks and have very few casualties. I'm afraid that the day will come when it will take six years and cost six million American lives."

The Famous Trojan Horse of Ancient History



THERE IS A TROJAN HORSE WITHIN OUR GATES TODAY

In the semi-legendary war of the 12th century B.C., between the Greeks and Trojans, for the recovery of the queen, Helen of Troy, the Greeks used a sly method to get into the city. They built a huge, hollow, wooden horse and placed soldiers inside it and wheeled it to the gates of Troy, saying it was an offering to their goddess. The gullible Trojans opened wide the gates and brought the wooden horse into the city. That night, the men inside the horse opened the door at the side of the horse and crept out silently. They then opened the city gates so that the whole Greek army could pour in and conquer the city.

Communism is like the Trojan horse that is secretly trying to capture our nation. Let us beware and alert to this danger, and each one do his or her duty to keep it from thriving.

PLEASE HELP US
SPREAD THE TRUTH

Open Letter to President Kennedy

RICHARD KILBOURNE

Attorney at Law
Clinton, Louisiana
October 2, 1962

The President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

I am prompted to write this letter by recent events in the State of Mississippi.

The historical facts which I propose to relate are so well known that their repetition may appear to be redundant. However, the pious self-righteousness with which you and your brother, the Attorney General, have accompanied the brutal suppression of the people of the State of Mississippi has led me to the conclusion that these facts cannot be too often repeated.

The excuse for the invasion of the State of Mississippi by federal forces is a court order. The excuse for the court order is the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States or, more precisely, one portion of the Amendment which says:

"No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of Citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law, nor deny any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law."

With the exception of the treatment accorded the American Indians, I doubt if there is a more shameful chapter in the history of the Republic than that which contains the history of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The history of the 14th Amendment began in the United States Congress on June 8, 1866. The Confederate States of the South had surrendered and were once more a part of the Union by having lost the war to secede. The legislatures of the several states, including those of the Southern States of Louisiana, Alabama, Virginia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Arkansas and Georgia, among others, had ratified the 13th Amendment which abolished slavery.

At this point, the firm and charitable hand of President Lincoln had been stilled by an assassin's bullet. A despotic and ruthless majority in the Congress took control of the government. By an unconscionable use of provisions in the Constitution which allow each Congress to be the judge of the qualifications of its members, this majority refused to allow the Senators and Representatives from the ten Southern States of Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas and Texas to be seated. This was done in spite of another provision in the Constitution (Article V) which guarantees each state an equal vote in the Senate.

The purpose of this device was simple. It was to enable the rabid South-haters in Congress to secure, under color of law, the two-thirds vote necessary to submit the 14th Amendment to the legislatures of the states for ratification.

In this situation, a "rump" Congress, composed of Senators and Representatives from twenty-seven States of the Union, instead of from the thirty-seven States then in existence, passed resolutions proposing the Fourteenth Amendment.

The legislatures of the ten Southern States whose Senators and Representatives had been excluded from voting on the resolutions proposing the

14th Amendment in Congress, promptly rejected it as did the legislatures of the border States of Kentucky, Delaware and Maryland.

By the Spring of 1867, it had become apparent that the Fourteenth Amendment was doomed insofar as its passage depended upon the free will of the South. It had been rejected by the legislatures, still free, of thirteen states, leaving only twenty-four states to ratify. Inasmuch as three-fourths of thirty-seven states, or twenty-eight, were needed for ratification, a new approach was indicated in order to "move forward" with the Fourteenth Amendment.

The rabid majority in Congress was up to the task. The same "rump" Congress which had excluded the Senators and Representatives of the ten Southern States above mentioned, enacted the Reconstruction Act of March 2, 1867. Again, no senator or representative from ten of the Southern States was allowed to vote. The Reconstruction Act dealt with the ten excluded Southern States as "rebel" states and declared that no legal governments existed in them. It placed these states under military rule under domination of army officers appointed by the President. By means of the Reconstruction Act, these ten Southern States were completely deprived of all power of government.

The most amazing or, shall we say, the most "extreme" feature of the Reconstruction Act was a provision to the effect that in order for the so-called "rebel" states to regain the status of statehood of which the Reconstruction Act deprived them, each of them had to ratify the Fourteenth Amendment.

President Johnson vetoed the Reconstruction Act in an able message, stressing its harsh unjustice and its many obviously unconstitutional features. He denounced it as a "bill of attainder against nine million people at once." Nevertheless, the same ruthless and rabid "rump" Congress passed the measure over his veto.

Military rule took over in each of the ten Southern States which the Reconstruction Act had designated as "rebel." Puppet governments, under military domination, were set up in each state and, literally at the point of bayonets, these puppet governments were alleged to have ratified the Fourteenth Amendment.

The prostrate and helpless "rebel states" of the South appealed again and again for relief from the Reconstruction Act to the United States Supreme Court. Each time, that august body, so quick nowadays to protect the minority from the ravages of the majority, found excuses to avoid ruling on the constitutionality of the Reconstruction Act and the Fourteenth Amendment. It has not done so to this day.

So you see, Mr. President, the Fourteenth Amendment was conceived in hate, born in infamy and nurtured by vengeance. The tragedy in the State of Mississippi, which we are now witnessing, is only a part of the bitter fruit of the unnatural union which spawned the Fourteenth Amendment.

Blinded by hate and thirsting for vengeance upon a fallen foe, the "rump" Congress of 1866 could not have foreseen that, in less than one hundred years, their places would be taken by a despotic judiciary, abetted by a ruthless executive department, unhindered by a subservient Congress, a combination which has made it possible for powerful forces to subvert the powers guaranteed the people by the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution, under what is commonly known as States Rights, and to use these powers for their own selfish ends, all in the name of the Fourteenth Amendment.

In the climate where you live, Mr. President, I am confident that these sentiments will be regarded as "unsophisticated." I do not expect my words to influence the course which, by your lights, is necessary. I can only hope that these words may be seen by some who still believe, as I do, that might does not make right.

Respectfully,
Richard Kilbourne

YARMOLINSKY

— Continued from page 1 —

According to Levine, Hoover forces all FBI men to buy his books and demands the names of those who refuse. Jack Levine says that in refusing he referred to the books as trash and was called in for three conferences.

He quoted Hoover as saying the Supreme Court aids communism. Levine says all FBI men who quit the service have their phones tapped and are trailed for a period of time, and this has the effect of preventing many dissatisfied men from quitting. Levine claims his phone is now tapped and that he is continually trailed.

Many good Americans in the past have been destroyed by this same kind of ruthless marxist men who are now attacking J. Edgar Hoover, such as Gen. Patton, Gen. MacArthur, Sen. McCarthy, Col. Lindbergh, Father Coughlin, and they are now working on Gen. Walker.

This could be the spearhead for an attack on FBI Hoover such as was loosed on Sen. McCarthy when they kept at him until they drove him into his grave.

If the Federal Bureau of Investigation is turned over to Yarmolinsky, that agency will be protecting communism in place of investigating it; all FBI agents will be withdrawn from the Communist Party and the communists will have the green light. The Left Wing has had such success in the New Frontier, they feel they can now take over the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

This runs true to form for 98% of the spies in the United States were Jews.

MARXISM MARCHES ON!

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn., 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office

Have you often wondered, "What good can MY letter do?" Remember that one vote has won an election. YOUR letter IS important. It may turn the tide!

FACTS are FACTS

By Benjamin H. Freedman

We are sorry for the delay in sending out this book, which delay was beyond our control.

This book has now been received and all back orders filled.

Price \$1.00

6 for \$5.00

Special Offer

LIMITED TIME ONLY

With each purchase of a set of records by Benjamin H. Freedman, "THIRD WORLD WAR," we will send a copy of FACTS ARE FACTS free. Both for \$5.00.

READ AND PASS ON